

JOURNAL OF FUNCTIONAL ANALYSIS 18, 286–287 (1975)

A Uniqueness Theorem for Elliptic Quasi-Variational Inequalities

THEODORE LAETSCH

*Mathematics Department, University of Arizona, Tucson, Arizona 85721**Communicated by J. L. Lions*

Received May 20, 1974

We present a uniqueness theorem for the “quasi-variational inequality” considered in [1].

$$\begin{aligned} a(u, v - u) &\geq (f, v - u) \quad \text{for all } v \in H^1(\Omega), \quad v \leq M(u); \\ u &\leq M(u), \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where Ω is a bounded domain with a smooth boundary, M is a mapping from $L^\infty(\Omega)$ into $L^\infty(\Omega)$, and $a(u, v)$ is a coercive bilinear form on $H^1(\Omega)$, whose exact form is given in [1]. For the study of (1), we will use (cf. [3]) the following

LEMMA. *Suppose $f \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ and $\psi \in L^\infty(\Omega)$, with $f \geq 0$ a.e. and $\psi \geq 0$ a.e. Then the variational inequality*

$$a(u, v - u) \geq (f, v - u) \quad \text{for all } v \leq \psi, \quad v \in H^1(\Omega), \quad u \leq \psi,$$

has a unique solution u in $H^1(\Omega)$; this solution satisfies $u \geq 0$ and depends in an increasing manner on f and ψ .

Suppose that M satisfies

$$M[L^\infty(\Omega)] \subset L^\infty(\Omega);$$

$$u_2 \geq u_1 \geq 0 \Rightarrow Mu_2 \geq Mu_1 \geq 0.$$

Then we can define an operator $T: L^\infty(\Omega) \rightarrow H^1(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$ as the composition

$$w \rightarrow M(w) = \psi \rightarrow u = Tw,$$

so that $u = Tw$ is the unique solution in $H^1(\Omega)$ of

$$a(u, v - u) \geq (f, v - u) \quad \text{for all } v \in H^1(\Omega), \quad v \leq M(w); \quad u \leq M(w).$$

By the lemma, T satisfies

$$u_2 \geq u_1 \geq 0 \Rightarrow Tu_2 \geq Tu_1 \geq 0,$$

and T depends in an increasing manner of f and M .

UNIQUENESS THEOREM (cf. [2, 6.1.3]). *Suppose that $M: L^\infty(\Omega) \rightarrow L^\infty(\Omega)$ satisfies also: For every $u \geq 0$ and real $\alpha \in [0, 1]$, there exists $\beta \in (\alpha, 1)$ such that $M(\alpha u) \geq \beta Mu$. (These conditions are clearly satisfied by*

$$Mu(x) = k + \inf_{\substack{\xi \geq 0 \\ x+\xi \in \Omega}} u(x + \xi),$$

if $k > 0$, and the other choices of M considered in [1].) Then (1) has at most one solution u in $H^1(\Omega) \cup L^\infty(\Omega)$.

Proof. We show first that the operator T satisfies the same condition as M : For $u \in L^\infty(\Omega)$, $T(\alpha u)$ satisfies $T(\alpha u) \leq M(\alpha u)$ and

$$a(T(\alpha u), v - T(\alpha u)) \geq (f, v - T(\alpha u)) \quad \text{for all } v \leq M(\alpha u), \quad v \in H^1(\Omega)$$

while βTu satisfies $\beta Tu \leq \beta Mu$ and

$$a(\beta Tu, v - \beta Tu) \geq (\beta f, v - \beta Tu) \quad \text{for all } v \leq \beta Mu, \quad v \in H^1(\Omega).$$

Since $\beta f \leq f$ and $M(\alpha u) \geq \beta Mu$, it follows from the Lemma that $T(\alpha u) \geq \beta Tu$. Note that this holds also if $\alpha = 0$.

Suppose now that T has two fixed points $u_1 \geq 0$, $u_2 \geq 0$; suppose, without loss of generality, that $u_1 \not\leq u_2$. Then the largest number α such that $\alpha u_1 \leq u_2$ satisfies $0 \leq \alpha < 1$. Hence

$$u_2 = Tu_2 \geq T(\alpha u_1) \geq \beta Tu_1,$$

with $\beta > \alpha$; this contradicts the choice of α , and completes the proof.

Tartar [4] has also obtained a uniqueness result for (1).

REFERENCES

1. A. BENSOUSSAN, M. GOURSAT, AND J.-L. LIONS, Contrôle impulsionnel et inéquations quasi-variationnelles stationnaires, *Comptes rendus* **276** Ser. A (1973), 1279-1284.
2. M. A. KRASNOSEL'SKII, "Positive Solutions of Operator Equations," Noordhoff, Groningen, 1964.
3. J.-L. LIONS AND G. STAMPACCHIA, Variational inequalities, *Comm. Pure Applied Math.* **XX** (1967), 493-519.
4. L. TARTAR, to appear.